between the glass and coesite forms of BeF₂. The Rm for the cristobalite and quartz forms respectively of BPO₄, BAsO₄, AlPO₄, AlAsO₄ also are approximately within 3 per cent of each other. A decrease of the

Table 2. Comparison of molar refractivities

Compound	Form	Density	Average* refractive index	$\frac{Rm^{**}}{(A\cdot B)X_2}$	Per cent*** difference
SiO ₂	glass	2.203	1.458	7.45	+ 3.6
SiO ₂	l-crist.	2.325	1.486	7.42	
SiO ₂	l-trid.	2.262	1.470	7.41	
SiO ₂	quartz	2.648	1.548	7.19	
SiO_2	coesite	2.90	1.598	7.06	- 1.8
BeF ₂	glass	1.986	1.275	4.07	+ 1.7
BeF_2	quartz	2.38	1.328	4.00	
BeF_2	coesite	2.55	1.345	3.93	- 1.7
BPO4	crist.	2.80	1.597	6.41	+ 2.6
$\mathrm{BPO_4}$	quartz	3.07	1.642	6.24	
BAsO ₄	crist.	3.64	1.682	7.75	+ 3.5
BAsO ₄	quartz	4.00	1.738	7.49	
AlPO	crist.	2.285	1.465	7.36	+ 3.4
AlPO ₄	quartz	2.62	1.526	7.12	
GaPO4	crist.	3.27	1.560	8.15	+ 2.5
GaPO ₄	quartz	3.54	1.603	7.95	
MnPO	crist.	2.87	1.482	7.56	+ 4.7
MnPO ₄	quartz	3.20	1.528	7.22	
GeO_2	glass	3.628	1.6081	9.90	+ 2.8
GeO_2	quartz	4.228	1.707	9.63	
	rutile	6.239	2.015	8.46	-12.2

Abbreviations: crist. = cristobalite, trid. = tridymite.

same order is e these compounds the anions altho are differently p structural arrang of the refractivi ing" of the ions The effect of a clearly in the of the quartz ar the density of refractive index on the other ha this value is the forms differing correlatable w. In addition, the allows the calc plex compound ordination of v and SILVERMAN Al in 4 and 6 values differ b quartz-rutile p in 4 and 6 cc differ by 12] that, if the mo by 12 ± 2 per be predicted.

The usefuln reached via inflare tetrahedral

^{*}Average refractive index calculated as $\sqrt[3]{N_3^2N_e}$. The comparative values of Rm, in general, are not sensitive to manner of calculating the average refractive index.

^{**} $\frac{Rm}{(A\cdot B)\,X_2}$ equals the Rm of AX_2 or 1/2 of ABX_4 compounds. Rm normally reported in $\mathrm{em^3}$ per mole.

^{***} Percentage difference relative to the Rm value of the quartz polymorph of each series.

J. Am. Ceram. Se

¹⁰ RUSTUM Re Soc. 72 (1950) 3:

¹¹ These auth munications) also significant chang values differ by 6